

**FL-505 Okaloosa Walton Homeless Continuum of Care
 FY2026 CoC Competition
 Project Application Scoresheet**

Agency				
Project Type			New / Renewal	
Reviewer			Date:	
Accepted / Rejected	Project Tier:		Overall Ranking:	

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS		
<p>Projects are reviewed by the Collaborative Applicant to determine whether they meet eligibility threshold requirements. Applications may be rejected and disqualified from the review process for failing to meet any of the requirements listed in this section. This section will be completed by Collaborative Applicant staff based on application data. HUD requires the Collaborative Applicant to conduct this review.</p>		
Application Requirements	Met	Not Met
The applicant has met minimum eligibility requirements as listed on the application and in the RFA.		
The applicant has timely submitted the full and complete application, attachments, and budget forms.		
Program participants are eligible for the program component type selected.		
The proposed activities are eligible and consistent with 24 CFR part 578.		
Project narratives are fully responsive to the question being asked and meet all the criteria for each question as required by the NOFO and RFA.		
The data provide in various parts of the project application are consistent.		
Application meets threshold requirements per project type as outlined in the NOFO.		
Applicant has no outstanding HUD or Lead Agency monitoring findings. Response to any past findings was not overdue or unsatisfactory. No Federal or State agency has taken adverse action against the provider.		
Project complies with the requirements of the CoC interim rule (24 CFR part 578), <i>including requirements to accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System for the project and to participate in HMIS.</i>		
Applicant has affirmed certifications for illegal racial discrimination and operation of safe consumption sites as required in the NOFO.		

SCORING SUMMARY

KEY PROJECT ELEMENTS			
This section will be scored by the Grants Committee based on data in the project application.		Max Points	Project Score
Project Summary	Project summary is detailed and includes participants to be served	5	
Statement of Need	Applicant provides a data-focused response to the need for the project and addresses current gaps in service.	5	
Alignment with HUD Goals and Objectives	Applicant clearly describes how the project will align with goals and objectives detailed by HUD.	20	
Returns to Homelessness	Applicant has a clear plan for reducing returns to homelessness for project participants that includes supportive services and collaboration.	5	
SECTION 1 TOTAL POINTS		35	

CAPACITY			
This section will be scored by the Grants Committee based on data in the project application.		Max Points	Project Score
Management Capacity	Applicant has clearly demonstrated organizational capacity to successfully execute the project.	5	
Cost Effectiveness	Applicant clearly details the proposed budget and expected outcomes. At least one benchmark was used to justify reasonableness.	10	
Project Specific Details	Applicant clearly answered the project-based question.	10	
SECTION 2 TOTAL POINTS		25	

PROPOSAL QUALITY - Bonus			
This section will be scored by Grants Committee based on the entire project application.		Max Points	Project Score
Quality of application (detailed narratives, responses address questions adequately, etc.)	<i>Outstanding: 5 points</i> <i>Above Average: 4 points</i> <i>Average: 3 points</i> <i>Below Average: 2 points</i> <i>Barely Acceptable: 0 points</i>	5	
Project addresses a significant need in the CoC	<i>Strongly Agree: 5 points</i> <i>Agree: 4 points</i> <i>Neither Agree nor Disagree: 3 points</i> <i>Disagree: 2 points</i> <i>Strongly Disagree: 0 points</i>	5	
Project is the best use of this funding compared to other project proposals	<i>Strongly Agree: 5 points</i> <i>Agree: 4 points</i> <i>Neither Agree nor Disagree: 3 points</i> <i>Disagree: 2 points</i> <i>Strongly Disagree: 0 points</i>	5	
SECTION 3 TOTAL POINTS		15	

Overall Score Totals	
Section	Total
Section 1: Key Project Elements	
Section 2: Capacity	
Section 3: Proposal Quality	
Overall Total Application Score:	/ 75